

RFP 156-16-HCP FOR STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SAN MARCOS AND COMAL SPRINGS AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS BIOMONITORING DATASETS			
	Beaver Creek Hydrology, LLC.	Bio-West W/ Tennessee Tech	University of Texas San Antonio
1. Commercial Quality (60 points) • Experience with similar programs • Familiarity with the data • Demonstrated proficiency in statistical analysis of scientific data • Satisfactory response to terms and conditions • Proposal quality • Customer references and satisfaction of existing customers	40	60	43
2. Cost Factors (30 points) • Total project cost • Additional costs	20	20	20
3. Financial Stability (10 points) • Current financial condition	9	10	10
Total Points	69	90	73
Proposal costs:	\$31,540.00	\$88,742.00	\$69,744.00
Additional costs:			
Additional comments:	Met all criteria	Met all criteria	No Sealed Cost; Met all other criteria
	<p>Proposers are not familiar with the SM and Comal systems, the EAA and the EAAHCP programs. Experience with data similar to ours, but not our data. Proposal is light on description of objectives and tasks. Needs more details on analysis and how it will be accomplished. Previous work has been to answer specific questions with data analysis - our project requires data analysis to develop questions.</p>	<p>Most experienced with the SM and Comal systems, the EAA and the EAHCP programs. Has staff, programs and expertise required to start immediately. Proposers are aquatic biologists that are very proficient in data collection, management and statistical data analysis. Excellent presentation of objectives and tasks. Excellent record of being on task, on time and on budget.</p>	<p>Several years of familiarity with SM and Comal systems, primarily from a plant perspective. Some familiarity with EAA and EAHCP programs. Needs more details on data manipulation and analysis, objectives and tasks. PhD's are both botany based biologists. 2 out of 3 of the references are for totally different types of projects. Multiple exceptions to standard contract. Plans to use 2 grad students to organize, manipulate and analyze data.</p>
	<p>Proposal is so low it does not provide the confidence that the proposer fully understands the size and scope of the data and the project. Lots of data for one person to work through. I expect cost over-runs and requests for more time.</p>	<p>Highest priced. Excellent reputation for being on task, on time, on budget. Known to provide additional work at no additional cost.</p>	<p>Indirect costs are almost as much as cost of analysis. Not comfortable with the use of 2 grad students to manipulate and analyze the data. I expect costs over-runs and requests for more time.</p>
	Not well defined, other than to say past projects project stability.	Financially Stable	Financially Stable