						2017 E	dwards Aquifer Autl	ority Budget		
Workplan	Required by HCP	2013 Actuals	2014 Actuals	2015 Actuals	2016 Amended Budget	2017 Table 7.1	2017 Table 7.1 Adjusted	2017 Funding Application	Funding App minus 7.1A Δ	Budget Comments
Aguifer Storage and Recovery **	ncr	2013 Actuals	Actuals	2015 Actuals	Buuget	2017 Table 7.1	Aujusteu	Application	minus 7.1A A	Budget Comments
Obtaining Leases & Options	Yes	459,823	844,663	1,824,984	\$5,199,975	\$4,759,000	\$4,759,000	\$4,701,680	-\$57,320	Reflects an estimated amount.
ASR O&M	Yes	69,128	149,147	446,776	\$2,194,000	\$2,194,000	\$2,194,000	\$798,320	-\$1,395,680	Reflects an estimated amount.
							, , , ,,			Reflects budget in approved multi-year contract with SAWS; note this incurs future year borrowing (see future years
Regional Water Conservation	Yes	\$135,849	475,081	61,836	\$4,640,750	\$1,973,000	\$4,533,175	\$4,533,175	\$0	for this Conservation Measure).
VISPO	Yes	\$0	1,201,938	8,677,262	\$2,188,500	\$4,172,000	\$4,172,000	\$2,208,000	-\$1,964,000	VISPO will not trigger.
Biological Monitoring	Yes	\$399,988	416,990	417,020	\$417,029	\$400,000	\$408,275	\$408,275	\$0	Reflects BIOWEST contract price
Water Quality Monitoring	Yes	\$171,938	404,289	448,560	\$498,766	\$200,000	\$189,450	\$189,450	\$0	Reflects SWCA contract price
Ecological Modeling	Yes	\$70,006	287,219	419,865	\$305,000	\$175,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	Reduced by \$175K to pay for earlier completion of the ecomodel; ecomodel to be completed in December 2016.
Applied Research									\$0	
Research and Facility	Yes	\$261,500	770,427	301,236	\$491,764	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$0	
Refugia	Yes	\$0	0	791,679	\$1,678,597	\$1,678,597	\$1,678,597	\$1,678,597	\$0	Contract yet to be executed; final price will be set by contract.
Program Management	Yes	\$464,880 \$0	624,717 336,944	692,084	\$910,000 \$230,000	\$750,000 \$100,000	\$910,000 \$269,750	\$910,000	\$0 \$0	\$160,000 transferred from RWCP (termination of TX Agrilife contract) to this measure.
Science Review Panel Program Total	Yes	\$0 \$2.033.112	\$5,511,415	316,776 \$14,398,078	\$230,000 \$18,754,381	\$100,000 \$16,851,597	\$269,750 \$19,564,247	\$269,750 \$16,147,247	-\$3.417.000	Reflects NAS contract price.
Program Total		\$2,055,112	\$5,511,415	\$14,398,078	\$18,/54,381	\$10,851,597	\$19,504,247	\$10,147,247	-\$3,417,000	
**unexpended ASR budget will transferred to reserves										
	-									
	1			1	1	2017 Ci	ty of San Marcos/TY	State Budget	1	
Workplan	Required by HCP	2013 Actuals	2014 Actuals	2015 Actuals	2016 Amended Budget	2017 Table 7.1	2017 Table 7.1 Adjusted	2017 Funding Application	Funding App minus 7.1A ∆	Budget Comments
Texas Wild Rice Enhancement/Restoration	nei	\$226,326	\$175,985	\$178,500	\$166,737	\$125,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	Reduced by \$25K to pay for increased costs associated with Non-Native Plant Species Control (see below).
Sediment Removal	Yes	\$151,800	\$180,000	\$219,450	\$193,142	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	Requested to carry \$25K over to 2018 to reevaluate the most appropriate use for these fundsTBD.
Non-Native Plant Species Control	Yes	\$278,300	\$176,000	\$344,402	\$244,281	\$75,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$0 \$0	Borrowing \$25K from TWR Enhancement and \$50K from LID to pay for \$75K increase for the measure.
Management - Floating Vegetation Mats & Litter	Yes	\$45.027	\$41.575	\$50.625	\$51,298	\$80,000	\$51,298	\$51,298	\$0	Reduced by \$28,702 to pay for increased costs associated with Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (see below).
Non-Native Animal Species Control	Yes	\$32,957	\$24,960	\$27,460	\$27,959	\$35,000	\$27,959	\$27,959	\$0	Reduced by \$7,041 to pay for increased costs associated with Native Riparian Habitat Restoration (see below).
Bank Stabilization/Permanent Access Points	Yes	\$468,639	\$660,635	\$4,032	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	
Native Riparian Habitat Restoration	Yes	\$99,803	\$203,000	\$55,000	\$55,000	\$20,000	\$55,743	\$55,743	\$0	Borrowing \$28,702 (Floating Veg) & \$7,041 (Non-Native Animal) to pay for the increase for this measure.
Management - Key Recreation Areas	Yes	\$65,781	\$55,790	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$56,000	\$0	
LID/BMP Management	Yes	\$300,889	\$310,135	\$199,994	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$0	Reduced by \$50K to pay for increased costs associated with Non-Native Plant Species Control (see above).
Household Hazardous Waste Management	Yes	\$14,363	\$27,176	\$23,528	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$0	
Education Sessom Creek Sand Bar	No Yes	\$15,349 \$25,000	\$0 \$75,000	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	
Program Total	Yes	\$25,000 \$1,724,234	\$1,930,256	\$0 \$1,158,991	\$0 \$1,044,417	\$0 \$666,000	\$0 \$641,000	\$0 \$641,000	\$0 \$0	
	İ	\$1,724,234	\$1,930,230	\$1,138,331	\$1,044,417	\$000,000	\$041,000	\$041,000	<i>\$</i> 0	
						2017	City of New Braun	els Budget		
	Required by		2014		2016 Amended		2017 Table 7.1	2017 Funding	Funding App	
Workplan	НСР	2013 Actuals	Actuals	2015 Actuals	Budget	2017 Table 7.1	Adjusted	Application	minus 7.1A Δ	Budget Comments
Old Channel Restoration	Yes	\$349,240	\$124,988	\$224,994	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$135,000	\$135,000	\$0	Borrowing \$10K from LID (see below) to pay for increase in measure.
Flow calit management	Yes	\$127,938	\$156,882	\$0	\$48,500	\$0	\$44,150	\$44,150	\$0	Carrying over \$41,500 from 2016 due to project delay associated with 2016 completion of Bank Stabilization measure
Flow split management					\$100.000	\$100.000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	
Aquatic vegetation restoration	Yes	\$130,659	\$119,997	\$274,998	1 1 1 / 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1.1	
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control	Yes	\$89,965	\$132,451	\$63,517	\$55,000	\$75,000	\$55,000	\$55,000	\$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal	Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692	\$132,451 \$15,000	\$63,517 \$71,879	\$55,000 \$20,000	\$75,000 \$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle	Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000	\$15,000 \$25,000	\$15,000 \$25,000	\$0 \$0	
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control	Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000	\$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$870,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$100,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000	\$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905 \$0	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$870,000 \$3,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$100,000 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K-\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$870,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$100,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - fiftle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$870,000 \$3,000 \$135,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 -\$5,000	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management Household hazardous waste program	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340 \$41,449	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200 \$28,552	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784 \$23,870	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$870,000 \$3,000 \$135,000 \$0	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$30,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$30,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$30,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 -\$5,000 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management Household hazardous waste program Management of public recreation use	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340 \$41,449 \$0	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200 \$28,552 \$0	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784 \$23,870 \$0	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$870,000 \$3,000 \$135,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$30,000 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$30,000 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$30,000 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 -\$5,000 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K-\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. UPDATE - Restored full funding by borrowing \$30K from LID measure (see above).
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management Household hazardous waste program Management of public recreation use Litter control and floating vegetation management	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340 \$41,449 \$0 \$37,964	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200 \$28,552 \$0 \$30,172	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784 \$23,870 \$0 \$20,960	\$55,000 \$20,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$30,000 \$3,000 \$135,000 \$0 \$0 \$30,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 -\$5,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016.
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - iffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management Household hazardous waste program Management of public recreation use Litter control and floating vegetation management Golf Course Management Plan	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340 \$41,449 \$0 \$37,964 \$0	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200 \$28,552 \$0 \$30,172 \$0	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784 \$23,870 \$0 \$20,960 \$0	\$55,000 \$22,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$3,000 \$135,000 \$0 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$30,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K-\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. UPDATE - Restored full funding by borrowing \$30K from LID measure (see above).
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - riffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management Household hazardous waste program Management of public recreation use Litter control and floating vegetation management	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$174,910 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340 \$41,449 \$0 \$37,964 \$0 \$3,349	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200 \$28,552 \$0 \$30,172 \$0 \$0	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784 \$23,870 \$0 \$20,960 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$55,000 \$22,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$30,000 \$135,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$75,000 \$00 \$00 \$100,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$00 \$10,000 \$30,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. 2016. In 2017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K-\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. UPDATE - Restored full funding by borrowing \$30K from LID measure (see above).
Aquatic vegetation restoration Non-native animal species control Decaying vegetation removal Riparian improvement - iffle beetle Gill parasite control Restoration of riparian zones Prohibition of hazardous material routes Incentive program for LID/BMP stormwater management Household hazardous waste program Management of public recreation use Litter control and floating vegetation management Golf Course Management Plan	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	\$89,965 \$168,692 \$110,050 \$250,905 \$0 \$169,340 \$41,449 \$0 \$37,964 \$0	\$132,451 \$15,000 \$69,872 \$125,000 \$50,062 \$0 \$18,200 \$28,552 \$0 \$30,172 \$0	\$63,517 \$71,879 \$44,920 \$74,991 \$14,885 \$0 \$35,784 \$23,870 \$0 \$20,960 \$0	\$55,000 \$22,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$3,000 \$135,000 \$0 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000	\$75,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$100,000 \$30,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$15,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$0	\$15,000 \$25,000 \$30,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$30,000 \$0 \$30,000 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Reduction in scope to \$85K due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. Doll of 1017, determined to reduce measure by \$75K-\$30K shifted to HHW, \$30K shifted to Litter Control, \$10K shifted to Old Channel Restoration, \$5K left unallocated. Reduction in scope due to increased cost of "Restoration of Riparian Zones" bank stabilization construction in 2016. UPDATE - Restored full funding by borrowing \$30K from LID measure (see above).